

Catalyst synthesis and evaluation using an integrated atomic layer deposition synthesis–catalysis testing tool

Jeffrey Camacho-Bunquin,¹ Heng Shou,¹ Payoli Aich,^{1,2} David R. Beaulieu,³ Helmut Klotzsch,³ Stephen Bachman,³ Christopher L. Marshall,¹ Adam Hock,^{1,4} and Peter Stair^{1,5}

¹Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, USA
 ²Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA
 ³Arradiance Inc., Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776, USA
 ⁴Department of Chemistry, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois 60616, USA

⁵Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

(Received 24 June 2015; accepted 4 August 2015; published online 24 August 2015)

An integrated atomic layer deposition synthesis-catalysis (I-ALD-CAT) tool was developed. It combines an ALD manifold in-line with a plug-flow reactor system for the synthesis of supported catalytic materials by ALD and immediate evaluation of catalyst reactivity using gas-phase probe reactions. The I-ALD-CAT delivery system consists of 12 different metal ALD precursor channels, 4 oxidizing or reducing agents, and 4 catalytic reaction feeds to either of the two plug-flow reactors. The system can employ reactor pressures and temperatures in the range of 10^{-3} to 1 bar and 300–1000 K, respectively. The instrument is also equipped with a gas chromatograph and a mass spectrometer unit for the detection and quantification of volatile species from ALD and catalytic reactions. In this report, we demonstrate the use of the I-ALD-CAT tool for the synthesis of platinum active sites and Al₂O₃ overcoats, and evaluation of catalyst propylene hydrogenation activity. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928614]

I. INTRODUCTION

Atomic layer deposition (ALD) has become a useful, high-precision tool for the synthesis of supported catalytic materials.¹ ALD is a thin film growth technique that makes use of a sequence of self-limiting surface reactions to deposit materials in a conformal, layer-by-layer fashion.² The technique is similar to chemical vapor deposition (CVD), but the self-limiting nature of the ALD reactions ensures that only single monolayers or sub-monolayers of materials are deposited in each cycle and that surfaces are coated uniformly. Catalyst synthesis by ALD has been used to prepare the majority of relevant catalyst compositions, affording a wide range of supported active sites with various metal nuclearities (isolated metal atoms,³ metal clusters,⁴ nanoparticles,^{5–7} and films).^{8–10}

Our group recently developed an integrated instrument capable of both ALD synthesis and catalyst performance evaluation in a single reactor (I-ALD-CAT). The I-ALD-CAT system is equipped with an ALD manifold for catalyst synthesis on a solid support loaded in a plug-flow reactor. Immediately after the catalyst synthesis by ALD, the instrument can be switched to "catalysis mode" for reactivity testing using gas-phase probe reactions. This instrument is capable of performing both catalyst synthesis and reactivity testing functions in an efficient, *in situ* procedure utilizing (1) a large number of ALD precursors (both support and catalyst), (2) an integrated ALD-Catalytic plug flow reactor with fast thermal cycling, and (3) on-line gas chromatograph (GC) and mass spectrometer (MS) for ALD byproducts and catalytic product analyses.

Current catalysis research approaches that utilize ALD as a synthesis tool involve the catalyst synthesis and reactivity evaluation as two separate processes. Typically the materials are (1) prepared by deposition of the catalytic components from solution or gas-phase onto a solid support, (2) removed from the synthesis vessel, (3) loaded into the catalytic reactor, (4) pretreated, and evaluated for catalytic activity.¹ The I-ALD-CAT instrument combines all of these steps into a single, streamlined, automated process. Various equipment for high-throughput experimentation has been commercially developed to automate and parallelize catalyst discovery.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, the range of accessible material structures and compositions is expected to be much broader with the I-ALD-CAT tool. Furthermore, the integration of the ALD manifold to the plug-flow reactor unit allows for immediate in situ evaluation of reactive catalytic species without decomposition due to exposure to air or moisture. This feature makes the I-ALD-CAT instrument a highly suitable tool for the reactivity evaluation of air- and moisture-sensitive supported active sites and reactive surface intermediates, potentially harnessing mechanistic insights that are pertinent to the rational design of robust, high-activity catalytic systems.

The ability to evaluate a broad range of structural motifs makes the I-ALD-CAT instrument a powerful synthesis tool for understanding and advancing catalysis science. For example, this instrument can be employed for (1) the synthesis of single oxide and mixed-oxide thin film supports on a variety of scaffolds, (2) the deposition of supported (sub)nanometer metal or alloy particles or clusters at high density, (3) the preparation of active single oxide and mixed-oxide monolayers, and (4) construction of oxide pores/cavities around

his article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitationnew.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to I 146.137.70.71 On: Wed, 26 Aug 2015 13:01:35



FIG. 1. High-throughput approach to catalyst synthesis by ALD and reactivity evaluation using the I-ALD-CAT tool.

particles or clusters with atomic precision to inhibit sintering, control accessibility to reagents and provide an enzyme-like environment for catalysis.

In addition to synthesizing and testing new materials, multiple catalyst compositions on a single scaffold can be prepared through reiterative (1) *catalyst deposition*, (2) *reactivity testing*, and (3) *overcoating* experiments. Each time a catalyst evaluation is completed, the surface can be buried using a multilayer inorganic oxide overcoat (Figure 1). Completely overcoating the catalytic sites or particles will eliminate the previous catalyst and at the same time create a fresh scaffold surface for constructing the next catalyst. Thus, many catalyst formulations can be rapidly evaluated.

In this paper, we report the development of the I-ALD-CAT tool and demonstrate its use in the processes identified above as key steps for *in situ* catalyst synthesis and reactivity evaluation. The I-ALD-CAT tool was successfully used in (1) the deposition of platinum active sites and Al_2O_3 overcoats by ALD and (2) platinum-catalyzed propylene hydrogenation experiments.

II. I-ALD-CAT DESIGN

The I-ALD-CAT tool combines an ALD manifold with a plug-flow reactor unit. This instrument has the capability of catalyst synthesis by ALD on an inorganic oxide support loaded in a plug-flow reactor, after which, the catalyst activity testing can be immediately carried out. The I-ALD-CAT system is equipped with an ALD manifold that delivers gaseous reagents which include 12 different ALD precursors, 4 oxidizing or reducing agents for ALD, and 4 catalytic reaction feeds to either of the two plug-flow reactors. The system can employ reactor pressures and temperatures in the range of 10^{-3} to 1 bar and 300–1000 K, respectively. The instrument is also equipped with a gas chromatograph (GC-flame ionization detector (FID)/thermal conductivity detector (TCD)) unit and a MS for the detection and quantification of volatile species generated during ALD and catalytic reactions. We will present each part of the system and how this is achieved in turn.

A. The ALD-CAT manifold

The I-ALD-CAT design was implemented by ARRA-DIANCE (ARR-100000 GEMSTAR-CAT Dual System, Figures 2 and 3). The upper manifold (UM) consists of three 200 °C standard manifolds (M_1 – M_3) equipped with 12 ALD precursor material bottles (PR_1 – PR_{12}), six of which allow for assisted precursor dosing $(PR_7 - PR_{12})$. Nitrogen-assist during the ALD pulsing is carried out by an external ALD carrier gas (N₂) controlled by a mass-flow controller (MFC₁). The ALD manifold is also equipped with a 175 °C manifold (M_4) with four external ALD gas ports for reducing agents and oxidizing agents necessary for the ALD synthesis methods. ALD and catalysis experiments can be carried out in an automated fashion and the flow of gaseous reactants is controlled by 200 °C upper and lower process selection manifolds (UM and LM) equipped with heated lines. The ALD synthesis of catalysts and reactivity evaluation can both be conducted in the two, independent 700 °C tube reactors (R_1 and R_2). Reactor temperature monitoring is carried out through a thermocouple installed in each reactor chamber. (Note: The current reactor tube design does not allow insertion of a thermocouple directly into the ALD substrate bed.) 406 mm stainless steel tube reactors with internal diameters ranging from 3.18 mm



FIG. 2. Schematic representation of the HT-ALD-Cat instrument.

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitationnew.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP:



FIG. 3. ALD and plug-flow reactor manifold of ARR-100000 GEMSTAR-CAT Dual System. The metalorganic manifold of the GEMSTAR-CAT Dual System consists of three (3) manifolds that connect through VCR-4 fittings. Each manifold is entirely made of welded 316L stainless steel and is approximately 26 cm long × 15 cm wide. Each manifold unit consists of (1) a Stewart Warner (SW) settable temperature control zone up to 200 °C, (2) four VCR-4 connected heated material bottle interfaces with four software settable control zones for the material bottles up to 200 °C and a manual isolation valve for each material bottle, and (3) six high speed ALD Diaphragm Valves (DV) with 1.5" C-Seal interface. Four of the DV valves are dedicated for manifold injection of precursors and two are for separate bottle injection of inert gases (N2 or Argon) on two of the material ports. The latter is a vapor-push mechanism that allows for material pressure to be raised and facilitate injection of precursors with low heated vapor pressures. The ALD manifold is also equipped with a 1/4" central mass flow controlled VCR-4 connection for inert carrier gas connection. The entire unit is strategically insulated to ensure minimal cold spot locations.

to 6.35 mm with Variable Compression Ratio (VCR) -type connections can be installed in the reactor ovens. Each plugflow reactor is connected to two lower manifold (LM) valves, one opens to the vacuum system (V) and the other to an online GC for quantitative analysis of gaseous products. Vacuum is achieved in the system using an Edwards vacuum pump model EIM-18. (Safety note: In order to ensure that no toxic gaseous byproducts are released during the ALD process, the low-pressure gas stream emerging from the ALD manifold is thermally degraded in a Mini-Mite[™] tube furnace TF55030A set at 550 °C and then filtered through a Balston[®] compressed air and gas in-line filter regulator model AFR-940 before reaching the vacuum pump.) Real-time manifold pressure monitoring is made possible by the two vacuum gauges (P_1 and P_2) installed upstream and downstream of the plug-flow reactor chambers. When the instrument operates in catalysis mode, catalysis feed gases (C_1-C_4) are injected to the reactor through four MFC-controlled external carrier gas manifolds (MFC₂-MFC₅). The I-ALD-CAT tool can be operated for ALD synthesis or catalysis experiments in a fully automated mode using a GEMSTAR-CAT system control program.

B. The analytical tools

Monitoring of volatile organic species generated during the ALD process (e.g., protonated organic ligands) is performed using a residual gas analyzer (Stanford Research Systems RGA 200). The gas-phase sampling is carried out through a leak valve connected to the lower manifold vacuum valve of each reactor. The RGA head vacuum is separately pumped with a built-in turbomolecular pump. When the I-ALD-CAT is in catalysis mode, gas chromatography (GC) analyses of the gaseous product stream is performed using an online Agilent 7890 unit equipped with a 6-port injection valve, a split/splitless injector, a flame ionization detector (FID), and a Thermal Conductivity Detector.

III. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE I-ALD-CAT TOOL

The atomic layer deposition of Al_2O_3 overcoats on supported platinum nanoparticles (~2.8 nm Pt/Al_2O_3 (NanoDurTM)) was chosen to demonstrate the utility of the I-ALD-CAT tool for inorganic oxide synthesis, while gasphase propylene hydrogenation was used as the catalyst activity probe reaction.

A. ALD method development

The online mass spectrometer plays a central role in the development of ALD methods using the I-ALD-CAT instrument. The ALD parameters (e.g., dose and evacuation times) for the Al_2O_3 deposition method presented in this paper were identified through real-time mass spectroscopic monitoring of the deposition reaction of trimethylaluminum (TMA) and water under various ALD conditions. For example, the manifold evacuation period required between each TMA and H₂O pulse was determined as the minimum time required (30 s) to detect baseline methane signal in the mass spectrometer.

Confirmation of the self-limiting nature of ALD half reactions is a critical element in ALD method development. This feature is usually determined through mass gain measurements per ALD cycle using a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) installed in viscous flow ALD reactor units.¹⁵ The current design of the I-ALD-CAT instrument does not include a QCM accessory in the reactor; hence, a mass gain-based approach in determining self-limiting surface reactions is not possible. However, the online mass spectrometer unit provides the advantage of real-time monitoring of the formation of precursor breakdown products (e.g., CH₄ from TMA and H₂O dosing) under ALD conditions. TMA and H₂O dosing experiments were conducted to determine if the TMA and H₂O half-reactions proceed in a self-limiting fashion. Figure 4(a)shows the methane generation plot for a one-cycle Al₂O₃ deposition experiment. The first TMA pulse resulted in the most intense methane signal while pulses 2-4 resulted in decreasing methane signal intensities. No further decrease in the methane signal was observed between pulses 4 and 5, potentially indicating complete surface coverage with aluminum alkyl species. Pulses 6-25 resulted in increased methane signal intensity. The observed methane generation pattern for pulses 6-25 was also observed in control experiments where TMA was pulsed into an empty reactor tube (Figure 4(b)). This mode of methane generation is an indication that during pulses 6–25 (Figure 4(a)), no further TMA surface deposition occurs, and the concentration of TMA that decomposes in the mass spectrometer approaches the levels detected for pulsing into an empty reactor.



FIG. 4. Mass spectrometric monitoring of methane generated during one-cycle Al_2O_3 deposition at 175 °C. TMA pulse: 50 ms (1 Torr); H_2O pulse: 50 ms (1 Torr).

 H_2O pulsing experiments (Figure 4(a)) shows that complete hydrolysis of grafted alkyl aluminum sites is achieved within the first four pulses, as indicated by the baseline methane signal. Multiple TMA-H₂O pulsing experiments were then conducted to determine the reproducibility of the ALD deposition cycles. Figure 5 shows a repeatable methane generation pattern from a 10-cycle Al₂O₃ deposition experiment.

B. Al₂O₃ ALD conditions

Al₂O₃ ALD was conducted using trimethylaluminum (TMA, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) and deionized water as



FIG. 5. Mass spectrometric monitoring of methane generated during a 10cycle Al_2O_3 deposition experiment at 175 °C. Each cycle is comprised of four 50 ms (1 Torr) TMA and four 50 ms (1 Torr) H₂O pulses.

precursors.^{16–18} 140 mg of the Pt/Al₂O₃(NanoDur) substrate was loaded into a 406 mm \times 6.35 mm (i.d.) stainless steel tube reactor. The substrate was purged with N₂ (10 SCCM) for 30 minutes after reactor tube installation, and subsequently heated to 200 °C for 1 h under vacuum. The TMA-H₂O deposition experiments were carried out at 175 °C substrate temperature (Table I). During the course of ALD synthesis, the manifold upstream of the reactor (M_1-M_3, UM) was maintained at $135 \,^{\circ}$ C, the reductant/oxidizer manifold (M₄) at 115 °C, and the LM at 175 °C. Both the TMA and H₂O bottles were kept at ambient temperature during the synthesis. In this report, one Al₂O₃ deposition cycle is comprised of five consecutive 50 ms (1 Torr) TMA pulses followed by five consecutive 50 ms H₂O (1 Torr) pulses. Each precursor pulse was carried out in a three-step sequence: (1) manifold evacuation to 1 Torr base pressure (30 s), (2) precursor pulse (50 ms) at 1 Torr pressure, and (3) 50 SCCM N_2 purge (30 s).

TABLE I.	HT-ALD-CAT	conditions for	Al ₂ O ₃	deposition.
----------	------------	----------------	--------------------------------	-------------

HT-ALD-CAT section	Temperature (°C)	_
ALD manifold (M ₁ –M ₃)	135	
Red/ox manifold (M ₄)	115	
Upper manifold (UM) valves	135	
Lower manifold (LM) valves	175	
Reactor (R_1)	175	

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitationnew.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP 146.137.70.71 On: Wed. 26 Aug 2015 13:01:35

\mathbf{n}	TABLE II.	HT-ALD-CAT	conditions f	for Al ₂ O	3 deposition
--------------	-----------	------------	--------------	-----------------------	--------------

HT-ALD-CAT section	Temperature (°C)		
ALD manifold (M ₁ –M ₃)	135		
Platinum precursor (PR ₂)	55		
Red/ox manifold (M ₄)	115		
Upper manifold (UM) valves	135		
Lower manifold (LM) valves	175		
Reactor (\mathbf{R}_1)	200		

The success of each Al_2O_3 overcoating experiment was confirmed by the decrease in propylene hydrogenation activity of the resulting material measured at 30 °C. Complete overcoating of the Pt material was confirmed by the total suppression of propylene hydrogenation activity.

C. Thermal annealing of Al₂O₃ overcoat

When complete Pt overcoating was achieved, thermal annealing of the ALD-prepared Al_2O_3 overcoat was conducted to identify conditions under which pore formation and Pt exposure occur. The completely overcoated Pt catalysts were annealed at three different temperatures: $300 \,^{\circ}$ C, $450 \,^{\circ}$ C, and $600 \,^{\circ}$ C. For each annealing experiment, the reactor was heated at the desired temperature for 1.5 h while maintaining a 20 SCCM N₂ flow (LM open to vacuum). The annealed catalyst was then cooled to 30 $^{\circ}$ C for propylene hydrogenation activity measurements.

D. Platinum ALD conditions

Platinum ALD was conducted using trimethyl(methylcyclopentadienyl)platinum(IV) (98%, Sigma-Aldrich) as precursor.¹⁰ A three-cycle platinum ALD experiment was conducted on the overcoated (55 cycles Al_2O_3) Pt/Al₂O₃(NanoDur) substrate. Platinum deposition was carried out at 200 °C substrate temperature (Table II). During the course of Pt ALD, the manifold upstream of the reactor (M₁-M₃, UM) was maintained at 135 °C, the reductant/oxidizer manifold (M₄) at 115 °C, and the LM at 175 °C. The platinum precursor bottle was heated at 55 °C during the synthesis. In this synthesis, one platinum deposition cycle is comprised of five consecutive 50 ms (1 Torr) trimethyl(methylcyclopentadienyl)platinum(IV) pulses followed by five consecutive 0.1 s air (1 Torr) pulses. Each precursor pulse was carried out in a three-step sequence: (1) manifold evacuation to 1 Torr base pressure (30 s),



FIG. 6. Catalytic propylene hydrogenation activity as a function of Al_2O_3 deposition cycles; 1st Al_2O_3 overcoat (blue); 2nd Al_2O_3 overcoat (red). Note: The overcoated Pt/Al_2O_3 catalyst was annealed at 300 °C, 450 °C, and 600 °C prior to the application of the second overcoat.

(2) precursor pulse (50 ms to 0.1 s) at 1 Torr pressure, and (3) 50 SCCM N_2 purge (30 s).

E. HT-ALD in situ catalysis studies

In this study, catalyst reactivity evaluation was carried out using propylene hydrogenation at 30 °C as the probe reaction. After each ALD or annealing experiment, the upper and lower process selection manifolds (UM and LM) and the reactor (R₁) were cooled to 30 °C with 10 SCCM N₂ purge. Once the reactor temperature stabilizes at 30 °C, 10 ml/min 2.5 mol. % propylene in He (MFC₅) and 10 ml/min 2.5 mol. % hydrogen (MFC₃) in He were fed into the system. GC analysis of the product stream was performed using an online Agilent 7890 GC unit. The GC method employed a 60 m × 0.32 mm GS GasPro capillary column for the separation with cycle times in the order of 8 min.

F. Results and discussion

The utility of the HT-ALD-Cat tool for the application of inorganic oxide films/overcoats was successfully demonstrated through the application of multilayer Al_2O_3 overcoat on Pt nanoparticles (2.8 nm) on Al_2O_3 NanoDur. The propylene hydrogenation activity of Pt/Al_2O_3(NanoDur) at 30 °C decreases linearly with increasing number of Al_2O_3 deposition cycles (Figure 6). The first complete overcoating of the Pt sites was achieved after 40 Al_2O_3 cycles



FIG. 7. Al₂O₃ overcoating application by ALD, followed by thermal annealing of the Al₂O₃ overcoating.

yrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitationnew.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to I

146.137.70.71 On: Wed, 26 Aug 2015 13:01:35



FIG. 8. Catalytic propylene hydrogenation activity after thermal annealing of Al_2O_3 overcoating. (a) Annealing of Al_2O_3 layer after the first 40 ALD cycles (blue); (b) annealing of Al_2O_3 layer after the additional 15 ALD cycles (red).

based on the complete loss of propylene hydrogenation activity.

Thermal annealing of the first complete overcoat (40cycle Al₂O₃) at 300 °C, 450 °C, and 600 °C revealed that overcoat densification at 450 °C and 600 °C is sufficient to induce pore formation (Figure 7), as indicated by the resurrection of propylene hydrogenation activity at 30 °C (Figure 8). Application of 15 additional Al₂O₃ cycles (second overcoat) on the annealed catalyst afforded a material that is resistant to pore formation upon thermal treatment. Only background hydrogenation activity (0.5% propylene conversion at 30 °C) was observed after annealing at 600 °C. 90%–95% of the material can be recovered after each ALD synthesis and/or catalysis experiment. (Note: Some materials get trapped in the glass wool layers used to pack the substrate bed.) TEM imaging of



FIG. 9. TEM images of (a) $Pt/Al_2O_3(NanoDur)$ substrate, (b) $Pt/Al_2O_3(NanoDur)$ overcoated with 55 Al_2O_3 cycles, (c) and (d) showing Al_2O_3 layers/overcoating.

the Pt/Al₂O₃(NanoDur) overcoated with a total of 55 Al₂O₃ ALD cycles revealed platinum sintering, forming bigger Pt particles (~3.5 nm, Figure 9(b)). TEM characterization of the overcoated catalyst also showed regions where Al₂O₃ layers are visible (Figures 9(c) and 9(d)).

The three-cycle Pt ALD experiment on the fully overcoated material afforded a catalyst that hydrogenates 77% of the propylene at 200 °C (10 SCCM 2.5 mol. % propylene in He; 10 SCCM 2.5 mol. % H₂ in He). This result demonstrates the utility of the HT-ALD-CAT instrument for *in situ* active site synthesis. Our group has successfully extended this application to the deposition of single-atom active sites using organometallic manganese, zinc, and aluminum precursors. These findings will be reported separately.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

An I-ALD-CAT tool was developed by combining an ALD manifold with a plug-flow reactor system. The I-ALD-CAT is capable of in situ catalyst synthesis by ALD and reactivity evaluation through plug-flow reactor experimentation. This instrument is equipped with an ALD manifold that delivers gaseous reagents comprised of 12 different metal precursors, 4 oxidizing or reducing agents, and 4 catalytic reaction feeds to either of the two plug flow reactors. The system can employ reactor pressures and temperatures in the range of 10^{-3} to 1 bar and 300–1000 K, respectively. The instrument is also equipped with a GC unit and a MS for the detection and/or quantification of volatile species from ALD and catalytic reactions. The I-ALD-CAT was successfully employed in the application of platinum active sites and Al₂O₃ overcoating, and propylene hydrogenation activity testing under plug-flow conditions. The simplicity of the instrument design provides a model system that will enable the assembly of such ALD manifold-plug flow reactor hybrid instrument for lab-scale catalyst synthesis and reactivity studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC-02-06H11357. High Resolution TEM images were obtained at UIC's Research Resources Center facility using the JEM-3010 (a 300 kV transmission electron microscope with a LaB6 electron source).

¹B. J. O'Neill, D. H. K. Jackson, J. Lee, C. Canlas, P. C. Stair, C. L. Marshall, J. W. Elam, T. F. Kuech, J. A. Dumesic, and G. W. Huber, ACS Catal. 5, 1804 (2015).

- ²S. M. George, Chem. Rev. 110, 111 (2010).
- ³V. Mahdavi and M. Mardani, Mater. Chem. Phys. 155, 136 (2015).
- ⁴H. Feng, J. A. Libera, P. C. Stair, J. T. Miller, and J. W. Elam, ACS Catal. 1, 665 (2011).
- ⁵J. Lu, K.-B. Low, Y. Lei, J. A. Libera, A. Nicholls, P. C. Stair, and J. W. Elam, Nat. Commun. **5**, 3264 (2014).
- ⁶K. Cao, Q. Zhu, B. Shan, and R. Chen, Sci. Rep. 5, 8470 (2015).
- ⁷S. T. Christensen, H. Feng, J. L. Libera, N. Guo, J. T. Miller, P. C. Stair, and J. W. Elam, Nano Lett. **10**, 3047 (2010).

I his article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitationnew.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP 146 137 70 71 On: Wed 26 Aug 2015 13:01:35

- ⁸F. Jiang, J. Huang, L. Niu, and G. Xiao, Catal. Lett. 145, 947 (2015).
- ⁹S. T. Christensen and J. W. Elam, Chem. Mater. 22, 2517 (2010).
- ¹⁰T. Aaltonen, M. Ritala, T. Sajavaara, J. Keinonen, and M. Leskelä, Chem. Mater. 15, 1924 (2003).
- ¹¹T. R. Boussie, G. M. Diamond, C. Goh, K. A. Hall, A. M. LaPointe, M. Leclerc, C. Lund, V. Murphy, J. A. W. Shoemaker, U. Tracht, H. Turner, J. Zhang, T. Uno, R. K. Rosen, and J. C. Stevens, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **125**, 4306 (2003).
- ¹²M. T. Reetz, K. M. Kühling, S. Wilensek, H. Husmann, U. W. Häusig, and M. Hermes, Catal. Today 67, 389 (2001).
- ¹³M. Richter, M. Langpape, S. Kolf, G. Grubert, R. Eckelt, J. Radnik, M.
- Schneider, M. M. Pohl, and R. Fricke, Appl. Catal., B 36, 261 (2002).
 ¹⁴W. Schrader, A. Eipper, D. J. Pugh, and M. T. Reetz, Can. J. Chem. 80, 626 (2002).
- ¹⁵S. C. Riha, J. A. Libera, J. W. Elam, and A. B. Martinson, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83, 94101 (2012).
- ¹⁶C. Travis and R. Adomaitis, Theor. Chem. Acc. **133**(1), 1414 (2013).
- ¹⁷H. Feng, J. Lu, P. Stair, and J. Elam, Catal. Lett. **141**, 512 (2011).
- ¹⁸J. Lu, B. Liu, J. P. Greeley, Z. Feng, J. A. Libera, Y. Lei, M. J. Bedzyk, P. C. Stair, and J. W. Elam, Chem. Mater. **24**, 2047 (2012).