PEM water electrolysis cells with catalyst coating by atomic layer deposition
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Highlights

- Demonstration of a cell design with porous transport electrodes and atomic layer deposition.
- Reduction of catalyst at high mass activity.
- Experimental characterization combined with a detailed overpotential analysis from polarization curve fits

Abstract

The limited annual mining capacity and high costs of platinum metal group catalysts (PMG) are confining the production of hydrogen from PEM electrolysis. Therefore, a significant reduction of catalyst needs is crucial to reduce system costs and increase production capacity. This study demonstrates the feasibility of a PEM water electrolysis cell design using porous transport electrodes (PTE) with catalyst coating by atomic layer deposition (ALD) and operation in 1 mol/L sulphuric acid at 60 °C. Though the catalyst loading has been reduced to 0.12 mg/cm\textsuperscript{2} iridium on the anode and 0.28 mg/cm\textsuperscript{2} platinum on the cathode, a current density of 168 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} and mean high mass activity of 1400 A/g iridium could be achieved at 1.7 V. The characterization of three high loading PTE cells is combined with a detailed overpotential analysis from polarization curve fits and demonstrates a reproducible cell setup. Further analysis steps show an increasing cell performance with increasing coating cycle numbers and the consistency of the anode performance in the three electrode setup with the complete cell. The ALD coated PTE design turns out to be a promising candidate for catalyst loading reduction in PEM electrolysis.

© 2021 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
Introduction

To increase the amount of energy produced from renewable resources, the use of mechanical and electrochemical storage systems are crucial to stabilize electric grids. In the current state, batteries are the most popular electrochemical storage systems. However, water electrolysis is a promising technology to store huge amounts of energy by generating hydrogen which can be converted back to electric energy or used directly in the chemical industry. Alkaline electrolysis is an established and widely used technology to produce hydrogen from electric energy but has the disadvantage of high overpotential and a slow dynamic response. The polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) electrolysis is now in the focus of research and industry due to a reduced overpotential and a fast response to dynamic power changes. Since PEM electrolysers are still cost intensive and exhibit limited turn-around due to the need for noble materials and high assembly costs [1], new cell and catalyst concepts are investigated at present. Another reason for the investigation of lower noble catalyst loadings is the limited mining capacity [2–4].

Research on lower catalysts loadings concentrates on catalyst ink composition, membrane coating techniques, the catalyst material and support particles as well as the catalyst application methods to the support particles [5]. Currently PMG catalysts amounts of 2–3 mg/cm² are used in commercial PEM electrolysis cells. In the cathode half cell 0.5–1 mg/cm² platinum and on the anode half cell 1.5–2.5 mg/cm² iridium or ruthenium (oxides) are common [5–8]. The improvement attempts are mainly focused on the anode side due to the slower oxygen evolution reaction (OER) compared to the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and due to higher costs for the widely used iridium.

In the past years, several studies with the focus on reduced amounts of iridium catalysts were published. Hegge et al. have achieved a cell performance similar to commercial PEM electrolysis cells with a reduced anode loading of 0.2 mg/cm² by combining iridium particles and iridium nano fibers [4]. H. Yu et al. were able to reduce the anode catalyst amount to 0.1 mg/cm² without performance gains compared to commercial cells by preparing the catalyst powder with reactive spray deposition technology [3,10]. Another approach is the direct coating of the membrane by magnetron sputtering of the membrane, either on a support sublayer [11] or directly on the membrane surface. As shown by T. Hrbek et al. [12]. While the coating technique in commercial applications is the catalyst coating of the membrane (CCM), a promising approach is the use of PTEs with catalyst being coated on the porous transport layer. For the PTE approach, Bühler et al. have shown a comparable cell performance for low current densities and an improved performance for higher current densities with respect to CCM cells [13,14]. An advantage of the PTE design is the suitability of continuous production which is relevant to reduce production costs by large volume productions [15].

ALD as a coating method for catalysts was investigated in solid oxide fuel cells by X. Jiang et al. [16] and was identified as a possible coating method to reduce the catalyst amount in several energy conversion systems by J. Bachmann and Peng [17,18]. Lee et al. applied ALD for the coating of carbon particles with platinum at catalyst coated membranes for PEM fuel cells. They have reported an increased performance compared to commercial carbon supported catalysts, while keeping the amount of platinum constant [19]. Though ALD as a catalyst coating method is currently still a laboratory application, first industrialized applications have been investigated and reported to be a suitable alternative serial production coating process [20,21]. Schlicht et al. developed PTEs by using the ALD method in combination with a previous anodization of titanium felts to coat the OER electrodes with iridium and demonstrated their applicability in reversible fuel cells [22–24] while Ressel et al. have investigated these electrodes in vanadium/air redox flow battery cells [25]. Latest studies on the PTEs with ALD used for catalyst coating were focused on the anodization process parameters of titanium felts to create a nano structured surface which increases the performance and improves the stability of the electrodes [26]. In spite of these efforts, ALD catalyst coated PTEs for PEM electrolysis have only been studied in the 3-electrode half cell laboratory setup and complete cell characterizations are needed.

The ALD PTE cell design is similar to the cell design of commercial CCM PEM electrolysis cells using a PFSA membrane to separate the electrodes. In commercial CCM PEM electrolysis cells the catalyst is applied to the membrane and electrically contacted by conductive porous transport layers. In contrast to that, the PTE cell architecture directly applies the catalyst to the porous transport layers, forming the porous transport electrode as shown in (Fig. 1). By this way

![Cell architectures in this study - CCM (left) and ALD coated PTEs (right).](image-url)
the whole surface of the porous layer, including the nanostructured surface achieved by the anodization, is catalyst coated and at least in principle, the active area of the electrode is increased.

In the CCM cell setup, the supported catalysts are applied in a mixture with an ion conductive ionomer solution. This layer typically has a thickness of a few microns [5] and thereby a short ionic conduction length. For the ALD PTE setup, the conduction length of the protons is increased up to the thickness of the PTE. To keep the cell’s ohmic resistance low, a proper ionomer coating of the PTE will be crucial. In order to allow the investigation of the ALD catalyst coating method prior to optimizing the ionomer coating process in the PTE, the cell can be operated sulphuric acid in both half cells to achieve a sufficiently high protonic conductivity for the whole catalyst coated area.

The aim of this study is to demonstrate the feasibility of ALD catalyst coated PTE cells. The electrochemical characterization is performed in the complete PEM electrolysis cell setup and compared to a standard CCM cell. For the reasons described above the PTE cell is operated in 1 mol/L sulphuric acid. PTEs are produced with two different catalyst loadings to quantify the influence of the ALD thickness. To furthermore verify the reproducibility of the PTE cell architecture, the performances of three individual PTEs with equal catalyst loadings are compared. Polarization curve fits are performed for an overpotential analysis. Finally the operation of the PTE cell in water is evaluated.

Materials

**PTE cells with ALD coating**

**PTE cell anode**

Titanium felts from Baekert (ST/Ti/20/350/50) with a thickness of 160 μm a fibre diameter of 20 μm and a porosity of 50% are used for the porous transport electrodes on the anode side. Prior to the ALD coating the titanium felts were anodized following the procedure described in Ref. [26] to create a structure of nanotubes on the whole surface of the titanium felt and thereby increase the electrode surface. Two different catalyst loadings were tested as the iridium catalyst was coated by ALD with 150 cycles and 75 cycles respectively. With respect to the active membrane area, the corresponding catalyst densities are about 0.12 mg/cm² and 0.09 mg/cm² and were determined by ICP-MS measurements.

**PTE cell cathode**

On the cathode side an ALD coated graphite felt (SGL Carbon research sample) with a fixed platinum loading is used as porous transport electrodes on the cathode side for all PTE cells. Prior to the ALD coating, the graphite felts were pretreated by thermal activation. The catalyst density is about 0.28 mg/cm² platinum as determined by ICP-MS measurements.

**PTE preparation and catalyst thin film deposition**

Standard chemicals (glycerol and ammonium fluoride) from Carl Roth are used without further purification. For the catalysts methylcyclopentadienyl-iridium(I)-1,3-cyclohexadiene ((EtCp)Ir(CHD)) and trimethyl (methylcyclopenta-dienyl) platinum (MeCpPtMe3) from Carl Roth are used.

The anode preparation broadly follows the procedure described in Ref. [26]. The titanium felts were anodized using a glycerol electrolyte with 0.5 wt% NH₄F and 12 vol% H₂O under an applied potential of 40 V for a duration of 4 h in a two-electrode setup with a graphite counter electrode. After rinsing the Ti felts with ethanol and water, the electrodes were soaked in ethanol overnight and dried afterwards. The cathode graphite felts were thermally activated at 450 °C in a muffle furnace from Nabertherm for 3 h in air.

The Ir film was deposited on the anodized titanium felts subsequently using ALD in a commercial Gemstar-6 ALD reactor from Arradiance. (EtCp)Ir(CHD) heated to 90 °C and ozone, generated by an ozone generator model BMT 803 N, were used as precursors, whereas the reaction chamber was maintained at 220 °C. The nominal Ir thickness of 12.5 nm and 5 nm (150 and 75 cycles) was determined on Si wafers by spectroscopic ellipsometry with a SENPro from SENTECH. The scanning electron micrograph (SEM) image in Fig. 2 show the titanium felt before and after anodization and iridium coating by ALD with 150 cycles which indicates a homogeneous coating of the fibre surface. However, energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) measurements on 12 different points over the 5 cm² PTE

![Fig. 2 - Scanning electron micrographs of titanium felts (a) before and (b) after anodization and iridium catalyst coating with ALD with 150 cycles.](image-url)
surface indicate an inhomogeneous iridium distribution with a relative standard error of 42% for the mean iridium signal. The platinum catalyst was applied in a comparable ALD process as for the iridium: \((\text{MeCp})\text{PtMe}_3\) heated to 50 °C and ozone were used as precursors (reaction temperature of 220 °C) and Pt was deposited in 30 cycles on the activated graphite felts. This corresponds to a nominal thickness on Si wafers of approx. 2 nm.

Membrane
In the ALD coated PTE cell architecture a fumasep-F10100 membrane (Fumatech BWT) is used without further adjustments.

CCM cell as reference MEA setup

For the gas/liquid diffusion layer of the CCM half cells, titanium and graphite felts identical to the PTE felts are used. The CCM used as a reference for the PTE cell architecture is a Nafion 115 membrane coated with 3 mg/cm² Pt/B on the cathode and 3 mg/cm² IrRuOx on the anode (FuelCellsETC). The CCM cell is operated with water as common in commercial applications. CCM and PTE cell details are summarized in Table 1. The Nafion 115 membrane of the CCM cell has a thickness 127 μm and a conductivity of 100 mS/cm while the F10100 membrane of the PTE cell has a thickness 100 μm and a conductivity of 95 mS/cm, which leads to comparable overpotentials caused by the membrane.

Test cell housing

The test cell housing is designed in house and has an active area of 5 cm². The two end plates made of titanium grade 2 include the electrolyte feeding, the flow fields, threads for the electric connection and holes for temperature sensors directly behind the flow field. The flow field is made of straight vertical channels with 1.1 mm width and 1 mm depth. The shoulders in between are 0.9 mm wide. On the end plates, sealing frames made of PTFE and expanded PTFE are replaced to seal the half cells. The frames are fixed by ceramic pins and thereby keep the electrodes in position. The membrane has the same size as the sealing frames. To reach a good electric connection, the whole setup (cathode, membrane, anode) is compressed by 40% as defined by ceramic and stainless steel spacers (see Fig. 3).

Methods

A scanning electron microscope JEOL JSM 6400 equipped with a LaB6 cathode and an energy dispersive X-ray detector from SAMX was used to investigate the surface of the PTE.

For the electrochemical characterization a potentiostat SP150 in combination with a VMP3 Booster from Biologic was used. The electrolyte/water flow was set to 10 ml/min with a peristaltic pump (Masterflex). All characterizations are performed at 60 °C by using heat plates (CAT-m2021) and temperature sensors in the tanks, fluid cycles and the test cell housing.

The test routine consisted of a membrane break in phase, a heat up phase and the electrochemical characterization. During the membrane break in, a voltage of 1.6 V was applied for 2 h. The heat up took 2 h, while the temperature was nearly constant after 1 h. The techniques used for the electrochemical characterization were polarization curves (PC), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), current interrupt (CI) and chronoamperometry (CA) measurements. The PCs were recorded by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell ID</th>
<th>Catalyst anode</th>
<th>Catalyst cathode</th>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Operating medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALD low</td>
<td>Ir 75 cycles</td>
<td>Pt 30 cycles</td>
<td>F10100</td>
<td>1 mol/l H₂SO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD high, 1-3</td>
<td>Ir 150 cycles</td>
<td>Pt 30 cycles</td>
<td>F10100</td>
<td>1 mol/l H₂SO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM</td>
<td>IrRuOx</td>
<td>Pt/B</td>
<td>Nafion 115</td>
<td>deionised water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 – Design and assembly of the test cell housing with straight flow fields.

Fig. 4 – Polarization curve recorded with linear sweep voltammetry (green curve) and constant voltage steps (squares). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
a linear voltage sweep with slope 20 mV/min from 1.2 V to 1.7 V. EIS and CI measurements were performed to investigate the area specific cell resistance (ASR). Two sets of EIS measurements were done as staircase EIS in galvanostatic operation: The first with current densities of 10 mA/cm², 15 mA/cm² and 20 mA/cm² with a 1.5 mA/cm² amplitude and a second one with current densities of 25 mA/cm², 50 mA/cm² and 75 mA/cm² with a 1.5 mA/cm² amplitude. Both measurements were done from 100 kHz to 100 mHz with 6 points per decade and 30 s constant current period before each measurement. ASR values were determined as the intercept of the Nyquist plot with the real axis. The standard deviation of the ASR determined with EIS at the different applied current densities is 0.6% for one single cell. The CI measurements were done with three repetitions using applied currents of 10 mA/cm², 15 mA/cm² and 50 mA/cm² which were held for 0.5 s with a relaxing time of 5 s in between and a sampling rate was 2, 500 Hz. The standard deviation of the ASR determined with CI for one single cell over the different applied current densities is 8% respectively 6% for one current density with 6 repetitions. We thus conclude that ASR measurements are sufficiently reproducible. For the CA measurements, constant voltage was applied in 0.1 V steps from 1.2 V to 1.7 V, holding each step for 2 h. At each voltage step, the mean value of the current over the last hour was determined for validating the PC data recorded with LVS. The cell voltage of all characterization methods was limited to 1.7 V in order to avoid degradation effects during characterizations.

Table 2 – Current densities and ASR of the cell architectures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>$i@1.7\text{ V}$ [mA/cm²]</th>
<th>$i@1.7\text{ V}$ [A/g]</th>
<th>ASR (EIS) [Ohm x cm²]</th>
<th>ASR (CI) [Ohm x cm²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALD high loading</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD low loading</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation

For investigating the current exchange densities and the ohmic overpotentials of the ALD PTEs an analytical model is fitted to the recorded polarization curves. The model describes the cell voltage as a function of the current density. As described in Ref. [27], the total cell voltage is described by four contributions: the Nernst voltage ($E_N$), the activation overpotential ($\eta_{\text{Act}}$), the ohmic overpotential ($\eta_{\text{Ohm}}$) and the concentration overpotential ($\eta_{\text{Conc}}$).

$$U(i) = E_N + \eta_{\text{Act}} + \eta_{\text{Ohm}} + \eta_{\text{Conc}}$$

At low current densities, the concentration overpotentials have a minor effect and can be neglected. The description of the cell voltage is then reduced to:

$$U(i) = E_N + \eta_{\text{Act}} + \eta_{\text{Ohm}}$$

The Nernst voltage at ambient pressure describes the theoretical minimum voltage of the electrolysis cells in this study [28].

$$E_N(T) = 1.229 - 8.5 \times 10^{-4} \times (T - 298)$$

resulting in $E_N = 1.20$ V for an operation temperature $T = 60$ °C. The activation overpotentials are described by the Tafel equation
High loading PTE cells: (a) IR-free polarization curves, IR-correction with $\text{ASR}_{\text{EIS}}$ data, (b) Nyquist plots for $\text{ASR}_{\text{EIS}}$ determination.

with exchange current density $i_0$. The Tafel slope $b$ is given by

$$b = \frac{R T}{a z F}$$

where $R$ is the ideal gas constant $F$, the Faraday constant $a$ and the charge transfer coefficient $a$. The ohmic overpotential arises from the internal area specific cell resistance $\text{ASR}$ or $R_i$:

$$\eta_{\text{Ohm}} = i \times R_i$$

### Results and discussion

**Feasibility and performance of the ALD coated PTE setup**

Prior to comparing the performances of electrolysis cells we validate the polarization curve measurements with CA data. Fig. 4 shows the current densities of a high loading PTE cell for cell voltages from 1.2 V to 1.7 V. The polarization curve was recorded with LVS (scan rate 20 mV/min) after 12 h stepwise CA measurement with 2h holding time for each step. The CA current density values are determined by the mean value over the last hour of each step. It turns out that for cell voltages up to 1.6 V the current densities are almost identical, just the last current density at 1.7 V shows a slightly higher value for the CA measurement. The polarization curve measurement with LVS at 20 mV/min is thus considered as a validated method and will be used in the following discussion.

We compare cell performances by analysing polarization curves at 60 °C and ambient pressure which were taken after the membrane break in and heat up phases. The polarization curves of one high loading PTE cell, the low loading PTE cell and the CCM reference cell are displayed in Fig. 5. At 1.7 V the high loading PTE cell exhibits a maximum current density of 185 mA/cm² while the CCM cell exhibits 326 mA/cm². Since the PTE cell current densities are well below the CCM values but accompanied by lower catalyst loadings, we consider the corresponding mass activities as current to catalyst mass ratios. The PTE cell exhibit very high mass activities of 1540 A/g (high loading) and 980 A/g (low loading) compared to the CCM cell value of only 108 A/g. We conclude that the feasibility of the ALD approach in the complete cell setup is demonstrated showing very promising mass activities.

The high loading PTE and CCM curve shapes are almost identical but shifted by approximately + 0.1 V for the PTE cell even at very low current densities indicating higher activation overpotentials for this cell setup. In order to exclude the effects of ohmic resistances on the cell performances, Fig. 6a shows the IR-free polarization curves of the cells. IR-correction was performed by subtracting the ohmic overpotential (eq. (6)) using area specific resistances $R_i$ from galvanostatic EIS measurements (100 kHz–1 kHz at 100 mA/cm² with 10 mA/cm² amplitude at the same operation conditions).

The IR-free polarization curves are qualitatively similar to the complete polarization curves though the overpotential difference of the CCM and PTE cells slightly increases with the current density.

Since different IR-free polarization curve slopes might arise from different ASR measurement methods we determine $\text{ASR}_{\text{EIS}}$, taken as the high frequency real axis intersections of the Nyquist plot (Fig. 6b) and $\text{ASR}_{\text{CI}}$ from current interrupt measurements and displayed in Table 2. The $\text{ASR}_{\text{EIS}}$ values are 0.210Ohm·cm² for the high loading PTE cell and 0.470Ohm·cm² for the CCM cell while the $\text{ASR}_{\text{CI}}$ is 0.560Ohm·cm² for both cell set ups. $\text{ASR}_{\text{EIS}}$ ans $\text{ASR}_{\text{CI}}$ values could be reproduced with different measurement parameters. Cooper et al. discovered that the CI measurement technique is effected by potential gradients in the electrode which increase with the thickness of the electrode and nonuniform catalyst distribution [29]. By
in this way, the difference between the ASR$_{EIS}$ and the ASR$_{C3}$ values for the PTE cell may result from the higher thickness of the catalyst layer covering the whole the porous layer or a nonuniform catalyst layer. The higher ASR$_{EIS}$ value for the CCM cell can be attributed to an additional contact resistance between the PTL and the catalyst layer, while for the PTE cell, the catalyst is coated directly on the conducting porous layer, resulting in a lower contact resistance as observed by Bühler et al. [13].

Amount of catalyst loading

To investigate the influence of the iridium loadings on the cell characteristics.

We compare the polarization curves of the PTE cells with different ALD loading in Fig. 5. Even at low current densities, the cell voltage of the low loading cell exhibits higher and the difference increases with higher current densities. At the maximum voltage 1.7 V the current density of the lower loading cell is 88 mA/cm$^2$ and thus roughly half of the higher loading value 168 mA/cm$^2$. The corresponding mass activity of the low loading is 980 A/g and thus lower than the high loading value 1540 A/g.

The ASR$_{EIS}$ of 0.220 Ohm $\cdot$ cm$^2$ (high loading) and 0.210 Ohm $\cdot$ cm$^2$ (low) are almost identical (see Table 2) as expected for the identical geometrical architecture and materials. This leads to IR-free cell voltages in Fig. 6a which show no significant changes in the voltage differences of the different loadings.

Reproducibility of the ALD coated PTE cell setup

Three PTE cells high ALD loading cells were identically assembled and characterized in order to investigate the reproducibility of the setup. Their polarization curves, recorded under identical conditions as described above, are shown in Fig. 7, the IR corrected curves are displayed in Fig. 8a. While the performance of two cells is almost identical, the third cell exhibits higher cell voltages, which are still well below the corresponding lower loading values. We summarize the key performance parameters maximum current densities, mass activities and ohmic resistances in Table 3.

The mean value of the current density at 1.7 V is 168 mA/cm$^2$ and exhibits a 14% standard error, mainly due to the third cell, which is still achieving roughly two thirds of the other cell values. ASR$_{EIS}$ values and the real axis intersection frequencies (≈ 3 kHz) of the Nyquist plots in Fig. 8b are very close to each other. The mean ASR value is close the typical ASR of commercial PEM electrolysis cells [4,5,30], again indicating that no artefacts arise from the ALD PTE approach. As explained above, the ASR$_{C3}$ differences can be attributed to inhomogeneous ALD coating which corresponds to the EDX measurement results of the PTE with high loading.

In Fig. 9 the mass activity of the ALD catalyst coated PTE PEM cell is compared to literature data. The PTE cell values is three to four times higher than the literature values with catalyst loading given in detail in Table 4. The amount of catalyst needed can thus be significantly reduced with the ALD catalyst coated PTE architecture.

In total, we conclude that the general cell setup ist sufficiently well reproducible and achieves promising mass activities.

Cell voltage analysis

In order to analyze the ohmic and activation overpotential contributions to the total cell voltage of the PTE cells, the polarization curve (eq. (2)) is fitted to the experimental data. Fig. 10a displays the whole polarization curve of the high loading PTE cell #3 and the selected part for the fit. For all cells the polarization curves are fitted between 1.45 V and 1.55 V, since in this voltage region, the influence of the concentration overpotential is very low and the fitted transfer coefficients are close to the literature value $\alpha \cdot \beta = 1$ [35]. The polarization curves of the analyzed cells exhibit parasitic leakage or loss currents of 0.6–0.8 mA/cm$^2$ at the theoretical Nernst voltage 1.2 V. Since these loss currents are not included in the model, the current densities are shifted by these values before fitting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Performance parameters of ALD high loading cells.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>$i@1.7,\text{V}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[mA/cm$^2$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD high 1</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD high 2</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD high 3</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (std. error)</td>
<td>168 (23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Reference literature, in parentheses: specific catalyst loadings in mg/cm$^2$.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author [ reference ]</td>
<td>Anode Catalyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Immerz et al. [31]</td>
<td>IrO$_2$(1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z. Kang et al. [32]</td>
<td>IrO$_2$(2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Bender et al. [30]</td>
<td>Ir (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Bromberger et al. [33]</td>
<td>Ir (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Parra-Restrepo et al. [34]</td>
<td>Ir (2.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 – Mass activities of ALD catalyst coated PTEs with high loading and data of previous reports (60 °C and ambient pressure).
The leakage currents are expected to result from parasitic reactions like corrosion due to the highly corrosive environment of 1 mol/l sulphuric acid.

Experimental and fitted data are shown in the Tafel plot of Fig. 10b. While the overall error of the measured and fitted voltage is below 0.002 V for all analyzed cells, the experimental data spread around the fitted data at very low current densities, reflecting the resolution of the measurement equipment.

Fit parameter results are summarized in Table 5. For all PTE cells the fitted ASR values are higher than the EIS and CI values whereas the CCM cell ASR are close to each other. As explained above, we attribute this difference, which is smaller for the CI values, to the relatively high ionic resistance related to the spatial extension of the PTEs. In addition, linear low current density contributions from concentration overpotentials are assumed to be reflected in ASR_{PC}. Current exchange densities with respect to the membrane cross section of all analyzed cells are between $9 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{mA/cm}^2$ and $3 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{mA/cm}^2$ in agreement with values of previously published polarization curve fits [27].

For the ALD coated PTEs the current exchange densities are lower than for the CCM, leading to the observed higher activation overpotentials (eq. (4)).

Up to this study, ALD catalyst coating has not been examined in a complete cell setup, but only in a three electrode setup examining the performance of only one ALD electrode half cell. Since three electrode experiments are much easier to perform and should be used for a first performance measurement, we check for their consistency with the complete cell performance.

---

**Table 5** – Fit results - activation and ohmic overpotential parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>ASR [Ohmcm$^2$]</th>
<th>$i_0$ [mA/cm$^2$]</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALD high 1</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD high 2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>$3 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD high 3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD low</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>$9 \cdot 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 10** – High loading PTE #3: (a) polarization curve and fit range (b) experimental and fitted data.

---

![Fig. 11](image-url) – ALD high loading current densities from IR corrected CA: anode in three electrode setup (red) and PTE cell # 1 (blue). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
As the oxygen evolution is the limiting electrolysis reaction we compare anode three electrode results with complete cell results using anodes with identical, high loadings. The Tafel plot in Fig. 11 displays U-i data points of the three electrode setup and the ALD high loading cell # 1. Voltages were consistently determined as described above in CA steps from 1.2 V to 1.7 V and IR corrected by using \( \text{ASR}_{\text{IR}} \) values. Voltages have been adjusted for leakage currents as described above. A sufficient qualitative agreement can be observed for cell voltages \( \geq 1.4 \text{ V} \) while the reported loss currents are dominating the complete cell data below 1.4 V and are excluded.

### Operating medium

PEM electrolysis cells are usually operated with water. Though the operation with 1 mol/L sulphuric acid would be feasible by repeatedly or continuously adding water to a sulphuric acid cycle, it can lead to significant corrosion. In this study, we observed a slight cell potential increase at low current densities after the CA measurements (see Fig. 12a) and a corrosion layer at the titanium end plates of the cell housing as a yellowish discolouration in the flow field area. However after the CA measurements the cell potential at higher current densities decreased and the leakage currents are reduced to 0.03 mA/cm². The change of the cell potential is attributed to an increased conductivity of the membrane and the reduced leakage currents indicate a subside of parasitic reactions. The comparable overpotential at low current densities indicate a rather stable exchange current densities and low corrosion effects.

For these reasons we additionally operated the cell with deionised water. The cell still achieves a current density of about 70 mA/cm² and thus 42% of the mean current density in sulphuric acid as can be seen in Fig. 12b. This current density is quite high if we take into account that the active area under water operation is likely to be reduced to the interface of the PTE fibres touching the membrane whereas the whole surface of the electrode is assumed to be active when operating with sulphuric acid. Further research is necessary and will be done to reach higher current densities under PTE cell operation with water.

### Conclusion

In this study, we demonstrate the feasibility of a PEM electrolysis cell setup using porous transport electrodes with ALD catalyst coating. Several PTE cells were assembled and experimentally characterized. The setup turns out to be a promising candidate for catalyst loading reduction since the mean mass activity of 1400 A/g for \( U = 1.7 \text{ V} \) clearly surpasses standard CCM cell values. Similar cell characteristics for three identical cells show the reproducibility of the PTE cell setup and assembly. The mean ASR is close to commercial cell values and exhibits a low variance. Increasing ALD loadings lead to increasing current densities for higher ALD as expected. The PTE cells in our study are operated in sulphuric acid in order to exclude PTE surface effects, but operation in water is shown to be feasible as well.

Though the mass activities are quite high compared to literature data, the mean current density 168 mA/cm² at \( U = 1.7 \text{ V} \) is still low for a commercial application. The optimization of ALD coating for lowering the activation overpotentials is currently investigated. Due to the corrosive operating medium, a cell voltage limit of 1.7 V was set to prevent degradation of the cell components. By this way the process window and achievable current densities are strongly limited. Even though the change from CCM to PTE cell design is not affecting the porous structure, the coating potentially changes the gas transport and bubble release as observed by K. Dastafkan et al. [36] on Nickel foams. Gas transport and bubble release needs to be investigated. Further research efforts will investigate ionomer coatings of ALD PTEs for increasing the performance in water environment and the stability of coatings in sulphuric acid.
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